

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,262	09/26/2003	Karp-sik Youn	1349.1263	4945	
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 12/28/2006		EXAMINER		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			JOHNSON, VICKY A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	, 2 0 2000		3682	<del>,</del>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAI	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,262	YOUN, KARP-SIK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vicky A. Johnson	3682
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a round will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (1.1.14) at the cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14, 15, 19, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ford (US 3,413,866).

Ford discloses a pulley fixing apparatus comprising: a pulley fixing part (23, 22) rotatably fixing a driven pulley (25) on the frame (see Fig 3); a sliding part (22) movably supporting the pulley fixing part on the frame (see Fig 3); and an automatic tension adjusting part including an elastic pulling spring (29) disposed between the pulley fixing part and the frame to elastically bias the pulley fixing part in a first direction and impart a predetermined tension to the power-transmitting belt (col. 1 lines 10-15), a first fixing part (27), a second fixing part (28) arranged between the first fixing portion and the driven pulley (see Fig 5), the elastic pulling spring has one end fixed at the first fixing portion and another end fixed at the second fixing portion to push the driven pulley outwardly from the second fixing portion of the frame (see Fig 5), wherein the driven pulley is pushed from an inside of the belt when the spring is in tension (see Fig 2).

Re claim 2, a plate member (17) having a driven pulley support supporting the driven pulley and exposing a circumference surface of the driven pulley that contacts

Art Unit: 3682

the power-transmitting belt (see Fig 2) to ease installation and removal of the power-transmitting belt.

Re claim 3, the sliding part (22) comprises a slide protrusion (27) positioned at the pulley fixing part; and the frame has a protrusion guide hole (21) positioned to receive and guide the slide protrusion (see Figs 2 and 4).

Re claims 5-8, even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (i.e. installation and assembly). See MPEP 2113.

Re claim 14, the first fixing portion comprises a first hook positioned at the one end of the elastic spring (see Fig 3), and a first fixing protrusion (27) to fix the first hook positioned at the pulley fixing part; and the second fixing portion comprises a second hook positioned at the other end of the elastic spring (see Fig 3), and a second fixing protrusion (28) to fix the second hook positioned at the frame to protrude through a penetrated hole positioned at the pulley fixing part (the term hook is broadly interpreted to mean "a sharply bent device used to fasten something else).

Re claim 15, the automatic tension adjusting part further comprises: an antirelease portion (19, 20) to prevent the pulley fixing part from being released from the frame by an external force of predetermined magnitude.

Re claim 19, a locking part (col. 3 lines 7-12) to lock the pulley fixing part after the tension of the power-transmitting belt installed on the driven pulley is adjusted.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ford (US 3,413,866) in view of Holbrook (4,969,859).

Ford discloses a device as described above, but does not disclose the sliding part comprising first, second, third, and fourth slide protrusions positioned at the pulley fixing part; and the frame has corresponding first, second, third, and fourth protrusion guide holes positioned to receive and guide the respective slide protrusions.

Holbrook teaches the sliding part comprises first, second, third, and fourth slide protrusions positioned at the pulley fixing part (see Fig 1); and the frame has corresponding first, second, third, and fourth protrusion guide holes positioned to receive and guide the respective slide protrusions (see Fig 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ford to include first, second, third, and fourth slide protrusions and guide holes as taught by Holbrook in order to simplify construction and reduce cost.

Re claim 20, Ford discloses the locking part as described above, but does not disclose the locking part comprising an elongated adjusting-guide with a long axis

Art Unit: 3682

oriented at the pulley fixing part along the direction in which the pulley fixing part is elastically urged, a threaded hole positioned at the frame to correspond to the elongated adjusting-guide hole; and a locking screw engaging the threaded hole through the elongated adjusting-guide hole.

Holbrook teaches the use of a locking part comprising an elongated adjusting-guide (48) with a long axis oriented at the pulley fixing part along the direction in which the pulley fixing part is elastically urged (see Fig 1); a threaded hole (see Fig 1) positioned at the frame to correspond to the elongated adjusting-guide hole; and a locking screw (64) engaging the threaded hole through the elongated adjusting-guide hole.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify the device of Ford to include an elongated adjusting-guide, a threaded hole, and a locking screw as taught by Holbrook in order to simplify construction and reduce cost.

5. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ford (US 3,413,866) in view of Holbrook (4,969,859) and Burgoon (US 5,141,083).

Ford discloses a device as described above, but does not disclose an anti-push portion to prevent a fixing part from being pushed, having at least one projection/burr to protrude toward the fixing part.

Burgoon discloses an anti-push portion to prevent a fixing part from being pushed, having at least one projection/burr to protrude toward the fixing part.

Art Unit: 3682

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Ford to include an anti-push portion as taught by Burgoon in order to prevent separation of the members (col. 3 lines 6-15).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-12 and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Some further comments regarding the applicant's remarks are deemed appropriate.

The applicant argues that the Ford reference fails to meet the limitations of the claims because the reference discloses the pulley (25) being an idler pulley. An idler pulley is a driven pulley, since the pulley is driven by the belt.

The applicant's remarks have been accorded due consideration, however, they are not deemed fully persuasive.

### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3682

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vicky A. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00a-3:30p).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 3682